

Thermochimica Acta 327 (1999) 39-46

thermochimica acta

# Effect of MgO-doping on solid–solid interactions in MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system

H.G. El-Shobaky<sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Mokhtar<sup>b</sup>, A.S. Ahmed<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt <sup>b</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

Received 21 October 1998; accepted 25 November 1998

#### Abstract

The effect of MgO-doping (2–10 mol%) on solid–solid interactions and phase transformation process in MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system were studied using thermogravimetry and X-ray diffraction (TG, DTG and XRD) techniques. The proportions of molybdena expressed as weight percent were 12.36, 22.01 and 41.37. The results obtained showed that the MgO-doping promoted the solid–solid interaction taking place at 500°C between Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3</sub> to produce Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. However, MgO interacts readily with MoO<sub>3</sub> at temperatures starting from 500°C yielding MgMoO<sub>4</sub> which remains stable even when heating at 1000°C. The produced Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> decomposed at temperatures starting from 800°C producing  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3</sub>, a portion of which sublimed and the other portion dissolved in alumina matrix forming MoO<sub>3</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solid solution. MgO-doping decreased slightly the solubility of MoO<sub>3</sub> in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The promotion of Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> formation at 500°C and the decrease in the solubility of MoO<sub>3</sub> in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by MgO have been attributed to dissolution of a small portion of MgO in the MoO<sub>3</sub> lattice with subsequent increase in the mobility of Mo<sup>6+</sup> ions. The promotion effect of magnesia towards Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> formation and dissolution of MoO<sub>3</sub> in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solid are relatively small when compared to the observed effects reported in the case of Li<sub>2</sub>O-doping of MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system. The limited effect of MgO-doping has been attributed to a limited solubility of MgO in MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system due to the formation of MgMoO<sub>4</sub>. (C) 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Solid-Solid interactions; MgO-doping MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system; TG; XRD

### 1. Introduction

Molybdenum oxides loaded on an active  $Al_2O_3$  support are one of the most important solid catalysts [1–5]. The supported catalysts are usually prepared by impregnation of an alumina support from an aqueous solution of ammonium molybdate followed by thermal treatment at suitable temperatures.

The heating of physical mixture of crystalline MoO<sub>3</sub> and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 400°C for about 24 h resulted

in the disappearance of all X-ray diffraction lines of  $MoO_3$  due to the formation of two–dimensional  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$  film covering the surface of  $Al_2O_3$  particles [6–8]. The increase in calcination temperature of  $MoO_3/Al_2O_3$  to  $\geq 500^{\circ}C$  enhances the surface and bulk mobilities of  $MoO_3$ , leading to well-crystallized  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$  phase [9,10].

The metal–support interactions in the  $MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ system could be influenced by doping with certain foreign cations such as  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Ga^{3+}$ ,  $Ge^{4+}$ ,  $Li^+$  and  $Na^+$  [3,4,11,12]. This influence may result from modification in the mobility of  $Mo^{6+}$  ions in the  $MoO_3$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>0040-6031/99/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-6031(98)00657-1

lattice. It has been reported that doping of  $MoO_3/Al_2O_3$  mixed solids either with  $Li_2O$  [11] or  $Na_2O$  [12] enhanced metal–support interactions producing  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$  phase.

The present investigation reports a study of MgOdoping on solid–solid interactions in the  $MoO_3-Al_2O_3$ system using TG and X-ray diffraction techniques. These techniques permitted us to clarify the effect of MgO-doping in the thermal behaviour of  $MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ mixed solids subjected to thermal treatment at temperatures up to 1000°C and to identify the different crystalline phases produced by heating the mixed solids at various temperatures.

## 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Materials

A known mass of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, analytical grade supplied by BDH, was impregnated with ammonium paramolybdate (BDH) solutions containing three different proportions of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O. The proportions of ammonium molybdate were calculated so that the molar compositions of the calcined materials were 0.1MoO<sub>3</sub>:Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.2MoO<sub>3</sub>:Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 0.5MoO<sub>3</sub>: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The impregnated materials were dried at 120°C, then calcined at 500°C, 700°C, 900°C and 1000°C. The magnesium oxide doping was effected by treating the dried impregnated materials with an aqueous Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution prior to the calcination. The time of heating of pure and doped materials was fixed for 5 h, and the amount of magnesium were 2, 4 and 10 mol% MgO, respectively, (with respect to the sum of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3</sub>). The prepared mixed solid specimens were designated as AlMo-I, AlMoI-2MgO, AlMo-I-4MgO, AlMO-I-10MgO, AlMo-II, AlMo-AlMo-II-4MgO, II–2MgO, AlMo-II-10MgO, AlMo-III, AlMo-III-4MgO and Al Mo-III-10MgO. The nominal molar compositions of the calcined mixed solids were 0.1MoO<sub>3</sub>:Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (I), 0.2MoO<sub>3</sub>: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (II) and 0.5MoO<sub>3</sub>:Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (III) and contained 12.4, 22.01 and 41.37 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Al(OH)3 was used as a starting support material due to its decomposition during the thermal transformation of molybdate (into molybdena) which may provide chances for solid-solid interactions that was not attainable on using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a support.

### 2.2. Techniques

TG and DTG analyses of various uncalcined materials were carried out using a Perkin-Elmer (DT-7 Unix system) thermal analyser. A 18 mg solid portion was taken in each TG measurement. The reference material was  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (corundum). The rate of heating was kept as 10°C min<sup>-1</sup> and all the measurements were carried out in a current of N<sub>2</sub> flowing at 50 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>.

The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the various calcined samples were measured using Nippon denshi kagaku. The patterns were run with nickel-filtered Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.5405 Å) at 40 kV and 30 mA at a scanning rate of  $2\theta$ =8° min<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Thermal behaviour of pure and doped materials

TG and DTG curves of various pure and doped materials are summarized in Table 1. Representative TG and DTG curves are given in Figs. 1 and 2 for AlMo-II and AlMo-III doped with 10 mol% MgO. The recorded TG curves of the tested materials consist of seven successive mass loss processes. The first step extended between room temperature and  $130^{\circ}$ C and corresponds to departure of the physiosorbed water, while the second one extended between  $130^{\circ}$ C and  $186^{\circ}$ C indicates the removal of water of crystallization. The third and fourth steps were found between  $186- 217^{\circ}$ C and  $217-283.9^{\circ}$ C, respectively. These steps characterize the decomposition of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> and Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> producing the corresponding oxides according to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{NH}_4)_6 \mathrm{Mo}_7 \mathrm{O}_{24} + \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_3 + \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{NO}_3)_2 \\ & \to 7 \mathrm{MoO}_3 + 1/2 \, \mathrm{Al}_2 \mathrm{O}_3 + \mathrm{MgO} + 2 \mathrm{NO}_2 \\ & + 6 \mathrm{NH}_3 + 4.5 \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{O} + 1/2 \, \mathrm{O}_2 \dots \end{aligned}$$

Details of the mechanism of thermal decomposition of ammonium molybdate yielding  $MoO_3$  have been given elsewhere [9]. The fifth and sixth steps extended were between 450–550°C and 550–800°C, respectively. These steps might correspond to the removal of strongly bound hydroxyl groups and the last traces of these groups from alumina matrix. The last step

Table 1   TG results of pure and doped materials						
Solid	Weight loss (%)			MoO <sub>3</sub> (wt%)		
	100–800°C	100–800°C (calculated)	800–1000°C	Total	Reacted with MgO	
AlMo-II						
0.0-MgO	33	31.6	14.3	22.01	0	
4-MgO	34.65	33.6	9.72	21.7	4.34	
10-MgO	37.26	36.25	3.88	21.35	10.67	
AlMo-III						
0.0-MgO	29.93	28.8	25.29	41.37	0	
4-MgO	30.1	30.4	23.28	40.95	3.27	
10-MgO	33.25	32.7	18.67	40.36	8.09	

ruore r				
TG results	of pure	and dop	bed mate	rials

<sup>a</sup>The data of this column were computed by substracting the sum of the data of columns four and six from those of column five.



Fig. 1. TGA and DTG curves of uncalcined AlMo-II-10 MgO.



Fig. 2. TGA and DTG of uncalcined AlMo-III-10MgO sample.

Dissolved<sup>a</sup> in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

> 7.8 7.7 6.8

16.08 14.40

13.60

extended between 800–1000°C characterize the sublimation of some of the molybdena produced.

The values of mass loss due to heating at the temperature range 100–800°C for the various samples were given in column two of Table 1. The theoretical mass loss accompanying the thermal decomposition of the tested materials producing the corresponding oxides according to Eq. (1) were calculated and given in column three of Table 1. The values given in the columns two and three are close to each other for the different investigated mixed solid samples which indicates the thermal stability of MoO<sub>3</sub> produced up to 800°C. The total weight loss accompanying the thermal treatment at 800-1000°C were calculated for each sample and the values obtained are given in column four of Table 1. It is seen from Table 1 (column four) that the weight loss decreases progressively as a function of the amount of MgO added. This might indicate that the MgO present hinders the sublimation of MoO<sub>3</sub> to an extent proportional to its amount present. This hinderance could be attributed to a possible decrease in the mobility of the molybdena species or formation of MgO-MoO<sub>3</sub> compounds which are thermally stable at 1000°C. The formation of such compounds will be identified by XRD investigation given in the next section of this paper.

#### 3.2. XRD investigation of various solids

Preliminary experiments showed that the thermal decomposition of ammonium molybdate in air at 500–700°C resulted in the formation of well-crystallized orthorombic  $MoO_3$  which sublimes completely by heating at 800°C.

X-ray differactograms of pure and doped mixed solids preheated in air at 500°C, 700°C, 900°C and 1000°C and those of pure Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> calcined at 500–1000°C were determined. Table 2 lists the different phases present in various calcined solids. Representative differactograms of pure and doped AlMo-II and AlMo-III samples are given in Figs. 3 and 4.

It is seen from Table 2 that  $Al_2O_3$  heated at 500– 700°C is amorphous in nature. While that calcined at 800°C consists of poorly crystalline  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The Xray diffractograms of pure Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> preheated at 900°C and 1000°C, not given, include the diffraction lines characteristic for  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for the solids calcined at 900°C and  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> together with a minute amount of



Fig. 3. X-ray diffractograms of pure and doped AlMo-III samples precalcined at 500°C: (1) MoO<sub>3</sub>, (2)  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (4) MgMoO<sub>4</sub>, (5) Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

 $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (corundum) phase for the solid sample preheated at 1000°C. These results are in agreement with those published before [13,14]. Table 2 reveals that the pure and MgO-doped mixed oxide solid samples calcined at 500°C are composed of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> while that preheated at 700°C consists of K-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and that calcined at 900°C and 1000°C constitute of  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase except the doped AlMo-I sample calcined at 900°C. This particular sample consists of a mixture of  $\alpha$ -,  $\gamma$ - and  $\theta$ -aluminas. From the above results it can be concluded that MoO<sub>3</sub> catalyses the crystallization process of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In fact, the complete transformation of pure Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> specimen into the  $\alpha$ form requires thermal treatment at elevated temperatures >1200°C [14-16], or the presence of certain foreign oxides such as NiO [17], V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [18], Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [19] and CuO [20]. These oxides enhance the crystallization process of alumina into  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (corundum) phase. In the present work the role of MoO<sub>3</sub> in catalysing the crystallization of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into α-alumina like the above oxides is clear.

Inspection of the results obtained for pure and doped mixed oxide solids calcined at 500°C revealed that:

Table 2						
Crystalline phase	compositions	of the	calcined	products	detected by	y XRD

Solid	Calcination temperature $^{\circ}C$	Crystalline phases present
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	500-700	Amorphous
$Al_2O_3$	800	Poorly crystalline $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
$Al_2O_3$	900	к-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1000	$\kappa$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , minute amount of $\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
AlMo-I-0MgO	500	Poorly $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , poorly crystalline MoO <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	500	MgMoO <sub>4</sub> , poorly crystalline $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
AlMo-II-0MgO	500	Poorly crystalline $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and MoO <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	500	Poorly crystalline $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
AlMo-III-0MgO	500	MoO <sub>3</sub> well-crystallined, poorly crystalline $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	500	Some diffraction lines of MoO_3, MgMoO_4 and Al_2(MoO_4)_3 $$
AlMo-I-0MgO	700	γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , κ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% Mgo	700	Well crystalline MgMoO <sub>4</sub> , γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
AlMo-II-0MgO	700	Al <sub>2</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , κ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	700	MgMoO <sub>4</sub> , $\kappa$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
AlMo-III-0MgO	700	Well crystalline Al <sub>2</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , κ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	700	Well ordered MgMoO <sub>4</sub> , well crystalline Al <sub>2</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , κ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .
AlMo-I-0MgO	900	Very well crystalline $\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+2% MgO	900	Drop in I/I <sup>0</sup> for $\alpha$ -, $\gamma$ - and $\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+4% MgO	900	MgMoO <sub>4</sub> and mixture of $\alpha$ , $\gamma$ , and $\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	900	MgMoO <sub>4</sub> , γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
AlMo-II-0MgO	900	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> very well crystalline
+2% MgO	900	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , trace of MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
+4% MgO	900	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
+10% MgO	900	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
AlMo-III-0MgO	900	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	900	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
AlMo-I-0MgO	1000	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	1000	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
AlMo-II-0MgO	1000	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	1000	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , MgMoO <sub>4</sub>
AlMo-III-0MgO	1000	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
+10% MgO	1000	$\alpha$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , MgMoO <sub>4</sub>

- 1. All the tested pure and mixed oxide solids consisted of MoO<sub>3</sub> phase.
- 2. Doping of AlMo-I and AlMo-II with 10% MgO resulted in stimulating an interaction between MgO and MoO<sub>3</sub> yielding MgMoO<sub>4</sub> according to

$$MgO + MoO_3 \xrightarrow{500^{\circ}C} MgMoO_4 \dots$$
 (2)

3. Doping of AlMo-III specimen with 10% MgO led to the formation of MgMoO<sub>4</sub> and aluminium moly-date which takes place according to



Fig. 4. X-ray diffractograms of pure and doped AlMo-II and AlMo-III samples precalcined at 700°C: (3)  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (4) MgMoO<sub>4</sub>, (5) Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

$$3MoO_3 + Al_2O_3 \xrightarrow[MgO]{500^{\circ}C} Al_2(MoO_4)_3 \dots$$
 (3)

The later reaction clarifies the role of MgO in enhancing the solid–solid interaction between  $Al_2O_3$  and  $MOO_3$ .

It can be expected that this role arises from dissolution of a small portion of MgO in MoO<sub>3</sub> lattice leading to an increase in the mobility of molybdenum ions. The fact that MgMoO<sub>4</sub> was formed in the case of AlMo-I (containing 12.36 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub>) and Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was observed in the case of AlMo-III (containing 41.37 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub>) might indicate that MgO–MoO<sub>3</sub> interaction takes place prior to  $Al_2O_3$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> one. From the above results it can be concluded that a portion of MgO dissolved in MoO<sub>3</sub> lattice enhances the interaction between  $Al_2O_3$  and MoO<sub>3</sub>. The other portion of MgO reacts readily with MoO<sub>3</sub> and the MgO–MoO<sub>3</sub> interaction is easier than  $Al_2O_3$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> one.

Analyses of the results given in Table 2 for the pure and doped mixed oxide solids preheated in air at  $700^{\circ}$ C showed that:

- 1. For AlMo-I there are no diffraction lines characteristic for free  $MoO_3$  or  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$ phases which might indicate that  $MoO_3$ interacted with  $Al_2O_3$  yielding an amorphous  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$ .
- Doping of AlMo-I with 10% MgO resulted in the formation of well-crystallized MgMoO<sub>4</sub>. The formation of mangnesium molybdate must not be accompanied by any change in weight.
- 3. Pure AlMo-II consists of Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, while AlMo-II-10MgO consists of MgMoO<sub>4</sub> only. The disappearance of the diffraction lines of Al<sub>2</sub>-(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in the case of the doped mixed oxide solid sample calcined at 700°C reveals that MgO–MoO<sub>3</sub> interaction is more favourable, easier and prior to Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–MoO<sub>3</sub> interaction taking place at 700°C. These results are in agreement with those obtained in case of solids calcined at 500°C.
- Pure AlMo-III mixed solid samples consisted of Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> phase, while those doped with 10% MgO was composed of a mixture of MgMoO<sub>4</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

These results showed that  $Mgo-MoO_3$  interaction is more favourable than  $Al_2O_3-MoO_3$  interaction and when takes place it goes to completion.

Inspection of results concerning the phases present in pure and doped solids calcined at  $900^{\circ}$ C and  $1000^{\circ}$ C showed that:

- The diffraction patterns of all pure and mixed oxide solids reveal the absence of all diffraction lines characteristic for Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> indicating its decomposition into alumina phase.
- All doped mixed oxide solids (2–10 mol%) contain MgMoO<sub>4</sub> beside alumina phases. The obtained results indicate that Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> decomposed at

temperature  $\geq 800^{\circ}$ C and MgMoO<sub>4</sub> is thermally stable even when heated up to  $1000^{\circ}$ C.

From the XRD results the role of MgO in the enhancement of  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$  formation at 500°C is evident. However, the amount of MgO dissolved in MoO<sub>3</sub> lattice might be small because of the chemical affinity towards interaction with MoO<sub>3</sub> yielding MgMoO<sub>4</sub>. On this basis, the calculation of the amount of MoO<sub>3</sub> reacting with MgO is given in Table 1 (column six) neglecting the amount of MgO dissolved in MoO<sub>3</sub> lattice.

Finally, doping of  $MoO_3/Al_2O_3$  system with MgO led to two solid–solid interactions, the first reaction takes place between MgO and MoO\_3 and the second occurs between  $Al_2O_3$  and  $MoO_3$ . The first reaction is more easier and takes place prior to the second one so, it can be assumed that MgO–MoO\_3 interaction goes to completion. The amount of MoO\_3 consumed in MgMoO\_4 formation increases as a function of MgO content as shown in Table 1 (sixth column). Therefore, the amount of MoO\_3 consumed in the formation of  $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$  decreases by increasing the amount of dopant oxide present.

Aluminium molybdate decomposes at temperatures starting from 800°C yielding MoO<sub>3</sub>, a portion of which sublimed (cf Table 1 column four) and the other one dissolved in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix (cf. Table 1 column seven). It is seen from the last column of Table 1 that MgO-doping (10 mol%) of MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system brought about a small decrease in the amount of MoO<sub>3</sub> dissolved in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> lattice (it decreases from 7.8 to 6.8 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub> in the case of AlMo-II and from 16.08 to 13.6 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub> in the case of AlMo-III). This effect is much more pronounced in the case of Li<sub>2</sub>O doping of the same system [11]. In fact, it has been reported by El-Shobaky et al. [11] that Li<sub>2</sub>O (6 mol%) doping of MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system containing 22.01 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub> (which corresponds to AlMo-II) resulted in the decrease of amount of MoO<sub>3</sub> dissolved in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix, forming solid solution, from 7.1 to 2.5 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub>. The comparison of MgO doping with Li<sub>2</sub>O doping of MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system reflects the limited role of MgO in enhancing the sublimation of MoO<sub>3</sub> dissolved in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix. This limited role might be discussed in terms of the limited amount of MgO contributing in the formation of MgO-MoO3 solid solution.

## 4. Conclusions

The main conclusions that can be derived from the obtained results are as follows:

- Doping of MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mixed solids with MgO enhance solid–solid interaction at 500°C to form Al<sub>2</sub>(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.
- MgO interact readily with MoO<sub>3</sub> at temperatures starting from 500°C producing MgMoO<sub>4</sub> which remain thermally stable even by heating at 1000°C.
- 3. Aluminium molybdate decomposed at temperature  $\geq 800^{\circ}$ C giving  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3</sub>, a portion of which sublimed and the other portion dissolved in aluminium lattice forming MoO<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solid solution.
- 4. MgO-doping decreased the solubility of MoO<sub>3</sub> in alumina support material to an extent proportional to its amount present.
- 5. MoO<sub>3</sub> enhanced the crystallization process of alumina into  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 700°C and  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase at 900°C and 1000°C.

#### References

- Y. Okamato, T. Shimokaws, T. Imanka, S. Teranshi, J. Catal. 57 (1979) 153.
- [2] P. Gajardo, P. Grang, B. Delmon, Surf. Interface Anal. 3 (1981) 206.
- [3] A. Lycourghiotis, D. Vattis, G. Karaiskadis, N. Katsons, J. Less-Common Met. 86 (1982) 137.
- [4] M. Lo Jacono, M. Schiavello, V.H.J. De Beer, G. Minell, J. Phys. Chem. 81 (1977) 1583.
- [5] R. Margraf, J. Leyrer, H. Knözinger, E. Taglauer, Surf. Sci. 189, 190 (1987) 842.
- [6] J. Leyrer, B. Vielhaber, M.I. Zaki, Mater. Chem. Phys. 13 (1985) 301.
- [7] Y.J. Liu, Y.C. Xie, J. Ming, J. Liu, Y.Q. Tang, Cuihua Xuebao 3 (1982) 262.
- [8] Y.J. Liu, Y.C. Xie, Li.Z.Y. Zou, Y.Q. Tang, Cuihua Xuebao 5 (1984) 262.
- [9] A.A. Ibrahim, G.A. El-Shobaky, Thermochim. Acta 147 (1989) 175.
- [10] J. Grimblot, E. Payen, Surf. Prop. Catal. Non-Metals 38 (1983) 189.
- [11] G.A. El-Shobaky, F.H.A. Abdalla, A.M. Ghazza, K.A. Khalil, Thermochim. Acta 275 (1996) 235.
- [12] G.A. El-Shobaky, G.A. Fagal, N.A. Hassan, Thermochim. Acta 311 (1998) 205.
- [13] H. Jeziorowski, H. Knözinger, J. Phys. Chem. 83 (1979) 1166.

- [14] R.C. Mckenzie, Scifax Differential Tehrmal Analysis Data Index, Cleaver-Hume, London, 1962.
- [15] G.W. Brindly, J.O. Choe, Am. Mineral 46 (1961) 771.
- [16] J. Zemlica, C. Barts, Krist Technol. 7 (1972) 965.
- [17] G.A. El-Shobaky, N.M. Ghoneim, E. Sultan, Thermochim. Acta 63 (1983) 39.
- [18] G.A. El-Shobaky, K.A. El-Barawy, F.H.A. Abdalla, Thermochim. Acta 96 (1985) 129.
- [19] G.A. El-Shobaky, G.A. Fagal, A.M. Dessouki, Egypt. J. Chem. 31(3) (1983) 317.
- [20] G.A. El-Shobaky, G.A. Fagal, N.H. Amin, Thermochim. Acta 141 (1989) 205.