

Thermochimica Acta 319 (1998) 67-74

thermochimica acta

# Solid–solid interaction in CuO–ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system under varying conditions

G.A. El-Shobaky<sup>a,\*</sup>, A.S. Ahmed<sup>b</sup>, G.A. Fagal<sup>a</sup>, M. Mokhtar<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departement of Physical Chemistry, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt <sup>b</sup> Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

Received 12 September 1997; received in revised form 15 April 1998; accepted 29 May 1998

### Abstract

A series of pure and Li<sub>2</sub>O-doped CuO–ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mixed solids was prepared by wet impregnation of finely powdered Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> with Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solutions. The doped solids were treated with LiNO<sub>3</sub> solution prior to treatment with zinc and copper nitrate solutions. The amount of zinc oxide varied between 0.0 and 7.7 wt.% and that of copper oxide between 15 and 16.3 wt.%. The solid–solid interactions between the different constituents were investigated using TG, DTG and DTA of uncalcined solids and XRD of the solids calcined at 400–1000°C.

The results revealed that Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> undergoes dehydroxylation in different steps starting from 206°C and the removal of last traces of OH groups strongly bound to Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> lattice occurs at 396–496°C. Copper and zinc nitrates decompose simultaneously at 165–216°C, forming the corresponding oxides. The produced CuO interacts readily with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, producing CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at temperatures starting from 600°C and the completion of this reaction, yielding well-crystallized CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase, requires heating at 1000°C. Zinc oxide hinders the CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> formation at 600°C and enhances this reaction at 800°C. The hindering and enhancing effects depended on the amount of zinc oxide present. Furthermore, zinc oxide hinders the crystallization of alumina into  $\alpha$ -corundum phase by heating at 1000°C. Li<sub>2</sub>O-doping catalyzes the crystallization of copper oxide phase by heating the supported oxides at 600°C and suppresses the solid–solid interactions between CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> yielding CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by heating at 800°C. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: CuO-ZnO/Al2O3 system; Li2O-doping; Solid-solid interaction

### 1. Introduction

 $Al_2O_3$  is frequently employed as a suitable support for a large variety of catalysts used in various chemical reactions [1–7]. However, these catalysts are liable to deactivation due to the formation of aluminate compounds via metal oxide–alumina interactions [8]. It has been reported that the rate of reaction between metal oxides and  $Al_2O_3$  descends in the following order CuO>CoO>NiO>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [9]. The strong metal oxide-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interactions in CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system justifies the necessity of addition of other oxides to suppress these interactions[2,3]. ZnO is often added to fulfill this task. The solid–solid interactions between transition metal oxides and alumina are the focus of interest of several investigators [8–17]. These authors claimed that, besides the aluminate formation,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +202-337-0931.

<sup>0040-6031/98/\$19.00 © 1998</sup> Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved *P11* S 0 0 4 0 - 6 0 3 1 ( 9 8 ) 0 0 4 2 0 - 1

transition-metal oxides catalyze the phase transformation of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into k-,  $\theta$ - and  $\alpha$ -aluminas [12–18].

The present work aimed at investigating the role of calcination temperature and Li<sub>2</sub>O-doping on solid–solid interactions in CuO–ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> used in the catalysis of CO oxidation by  $O_2$  and in low-temperature CO conversion by steam. The techniques employed were TG, DTG, DTA and XRD.

# 2. Experimental details

### 2.1. Materials

Pure CuO–ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples were prepared by treating a known mass of finely powdered Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> with a solution containing a calculated amount of Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, drying at 100°C followed by calcination at 500°C for 5h. The obtained solid was treated with Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the least amount of distilled water, dried at 100°C and heated in air at 400– 1000°C for 5 h. The amount of zinc oxide was 0.0, 083, 1.96, 3.86 and 7.7 wt.%. The prepared samples which include the previously mentioned ZnO contents were designated CuAl, CuZnAl-I, CuZnAl-II, CuZnAl-III and CuZnAl-IV. These samples contain 16.3, 16.2, 16, 15.7 and 15.1 wt.% CuO, respectively.

Li<sub>2</sub>O-doped mixed solids were prepared by treating a known mass of finely powdered Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> with LiNO<sub>3</sub> solution, drying at 100°C, then treating with Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solutions. The obtained solids were dried at 100°C and calcined at 600°, 800° and 1000°C for 5 h. The amount of CuO and ZnO in the doped samples were 15.7 and 3.86 wt.%, respectively. The dopant concentration was 0.13, 0.27, 0.54, 1.08 and 1.63 wt.% Li<sub>2</sub>O. All the employed chemicals Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O and LiNO<sub>3</sub> were of analytical grade supplied by Prolabo and BDH.

TG, DTG and DTA analyses of various uncalcined materials were done using a Perkin–Elmer thermal analyzer (DT-7/Unix system). An 8-mg solid portion was taken in each DTA measurement and 18 mg in each TG measurement. The reference material was  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (corundum). The rate of heating was kept at 20°C min<sup>-1</sup> and all the measurements were carried out in a current of N<sub>2</sub> flowing at a rate of 50 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>.

The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the various calcined samples were measured using a Philips diffractometer (Type PW 1390). The patterns were run with nickel-filtered Cuk<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>-radiation ( $\lambda$ = 1.5405 Å) at 36 kV and 16 mA at a scanning rate of  $2\theta$ =2° min<sup>-1</sup>.

### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Thermal behaviour of uncalcined Li-free mixed solids

TG, DTG and DTA curves of uncalcined mixed solids were determined and the results obtained are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Representative TG, DTG and DTA curves are illustrated in Fig. 1 for CuZnAl-III. The DTA curves display four endothermic peaks at 115–184, 205–252, 250–289 and 300–

Table 1 DTA results for uncalcined Li-free solid samples

$\Delta T/T$	$T_{\rm max}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	117
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	252
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	285
endo, vs <sup>b</sup>	308
endo, w <sup>c</sup>	157
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	248
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	286
endo, vs <sup>b</sup>	300
endo, w <sup>c</sup>	120
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	149
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	189
endo, vs <sup>b</sup>	301
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	115
endo, w <sup>c</sup>	205
endo, s <sup>c</sup>	250
endo, vs <sup>b</sup>	302
endo, w <sup>c</sup>	184
endo, w <sup>c</sup>	205
endo, s <sup>a</sup>	252
endo, vs <sup>b</sup>	306
	$\Delta T/T$ endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, s <sup>a</sup> endo, s <sup>c</sup> endo, s <sup>c</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup> endo, w <sup>c</sup> endo, vs <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Strong peaks.

<sup>b</sup> Very strong peaks.

<sup>c</sup> Weak peaks.

Table 2TG and DTG results for uncalcined Li-free solid samples

Solid	Temperature range/°C	WL.%
CuAl	Room temperature-121	4.9
	164–223	12.0
	223–285	16.9
	285-500	5.5
CuZnAl-I	Room temperature-155	4.5
	155–218	11.4
	218-281	17.6
	281-500	5.9
CuZnAl-II	Room temperature-165	6.0
	165–226	13
	226–282	15.7
	282–500	5.8
CuZnAl-III	Room temperature-137	6.5
	137–216	14.4
	216-251	12.8
	251-500	6.7
CuZnAl-IV	Room temperature-157	6.0
	157–217	13
	217–254	14.7
	254–500	6.7

308°C. The TG and DTG curves exhibit four successive weight-loss (WL) processes as shown in Table 2.

The first set of endothermic peaks (Table 1) correspond to removal of physisorbed water and water of crystallization of copper and zinc nitrates. The second and third sets of endothermic peaks indicate thermal decomposition of copper and zinc nitrates, respectively, to produce the corresponding oxides. The last set of endothermic peaks characterizes dehydroxylation of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> producing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The absence of any thermal effect in the DTA curves at temperatures between 500° and 1000°C does not exclude the possibility of solid–solid interactions in the test materials. It seems that these reactions take place at an extremely low rate which makes their detection by DTA a difficult task.

### 4. XRD of calcined mixed oxides

## 4.1. Li-free mixed oxides

X-ray powder diffractograms of various mixed solids preheated in air at 400°C were measured (not given). These diffractograms show that the test solids consist of well-crystallized CuO phase together with poorly crystalline  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [19]. The addition of ZnO up to 1.96 wt.% to CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mixed solids did not lead to any appreciable change in the diffractogram of



Fig. 1. Thermograms of uncalcined CuZnAl-III.



Fig. 2. XRD of different Cu-Zn/Al mixed solids preheated in air at 600°C: (1) CuO; (2) γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; (3) ZnO; and (4) CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

the mixed solid. Increasing the amount of ZnO above this limit led to the appearance of the diffraction lines of a separate ZnO phase. So, the endothermic peaks observed in the DTA curves of the different investigated solids at temperatures up to  $400^{\circ}$ C indicate the thermal decomposition of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> to produce poorly crystalline  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO and ZnO phases.

The rise in the calcination temperature of the different mixed oxides above 400°C may lead to possible solid–solid interactions between CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, producing CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [15,20]. Fig. 2 shows X-ray diffractogram of the test solids preheated in air at 600°C. The comparison between the diffractogram of zinc oxide-free sample and those containing different amounts of ZnO reveals the following:

- 1. The zinc oxide-free sample consists entirely of well-crystallized CuO phase, together with CuA- $l_2O_4$  with moderate crystallinity and poorly crystalline  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.
- 2. The presence of ZnO resulted in weakening the intensity of diffraction lines due to the CuO phase to an extent proportional to its amount present, and

to a decrease in the intensity of the diffraction lines of  $CuAl_2O_4$  phase.

3. The addition of 7.71 wt.% of ZnO prevented completely the formation of copper aluminate and resulted in the presence of a portion of ZnO as a separate phase.

It can thus be concluded that zinc oxide hinders the solid–solid interaction between CuO and  $Al_2O_3$  at 600°C to form copper aluminate and decreases the crystallinity of CuO which might be taken as an evidence for a higher degree of dispersion of CuO in the material.

The rise in calcination temperature of the test solids to 800°C brought about significant changes in the diffractograms as seen from Fig. 3. Inspection of Fig. 3 reveals the following:

- CuAl preheated at 800°C consists of a mixture of CuO, CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and poorly crystalline γ-alumina.
- 2. The addition of ZnO (0.83–7.71 wt.% ZnO) results in a progressive increase in the intensity of the diffraction lines relative to  $CuAl_2O_4$  to an extent proportional to its amount.



Fig. 3. X-ray diffractograms of CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> containing different amounts of ZnO preheated in air at 800°C: (1) CuO: (2)  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; and (4) CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

These results clearly indicate that ZnO stimulates the solid–solid interaction between CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, forming CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by heating in air at 800°C. Thus, ZnO behaves in a manner opposite to that manifested at 600°C. In other words, suppression of CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> formation was observed by heating at 600°C, while enhancement of this phase was observed by heating at 800°C. These results point out to the role of calcination temperature in solid–solid interactions between CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the presence of small amounts of ZnO.

Fig. 4 shows the diffractograms of different investigated solids, preheated at 1000°C. Inspection of Fig. 4 reveals the following:

- Heating CuAl at 1000°C results in a complete conversion of CuO into a well-crystallized copper aluminate and subsequent transformation of γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into well-crystallized α-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (corundum).
- The addition of different amounts of ZnO (0.83– 7.71 wt.%) did not affect the solid–solid interaction leading to the formation of CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.
- 3. On the other hand, ZnO brought about a progressive decrease in the intensity of the diffraction lines

due to  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to an extent proportional to its amount. In fact, the height of the main line of  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (2.09 Å) decreases from 110, 95, 90, 63 and 46 (arbitrary units) due to the presence of 0.0, 0.83, 1.96, 3.86 and 7.71 wt.% ZnO, respectively.

4. No single diffraction line due to  $ZnAl_2O_4$  was detected in the diffractograms of CuO/Al\_2O\_3 containing 3.86 and 7.71 wt.% ZnO. It seems that some of ZnO added dissolved in CuO and/ or CuAl\_2O\_4 forming solid solutions and the other portion might cover the surfaces of Al\_2O\_3 particles.

The absence of any effect of ZnO on solid–solid interactions between CuO and  $Al_2O_3$  at 1000°C forming CuAl\_2O\_4 seems to be expected since the calcination temperature was high enough to affect the completion of the CuO+Al\_2O\_3→CuAl\_2O\_4 reaction.

The suppression of crystallization of  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> being treated with ZnO and preheated at 1000°C might result from coverage of its surfaces with ZnO film acting as an energy barrier opposing the crystallization process of  $\alpha$ -alumina.



Fig. 4. X-ray diffractograms of CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> containing different amounts of ZnO preheated in air at 1000°C: (4) CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; and (5) α-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

# 5. Li<sub>2</sub>O-doped mixed solids

X-ray diffractograms of Li-treated solids calcined at 600°C were measured. These diffractograms (not given) show that these solids consist of poorly crystalline CuO phase and its degree of crystallinity increases on increasing the dopant concentration. This finding suggests that Li<sub>2</sub>O-doping enhances the degree of ordering of CuO phase to an extent proportional to the amount of dopant present. The ordering of CuO crystallites due to doping may be reflected as a decrease in the dispersivity of copper oxide phase. Hence, the doping process may lead to a decrease in the degree of dispersion of CuO present in the mixed solid specimens. It is known that Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support has the ability to disperse transition-metal oxides on its surface. It seems that the added lithium oxide, which was retained on the alumina surface, hindered the uptake of CuO on its surface leading to aggregation or crystallization of CuO crystallites into a wellordered CuO phase. In fact, the added lithium oxide can be located on octa- and tetrahedral sites of the treated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, thus decreasing its capacity towards copper oxide uptake. This process is normally accompanied by aggregation of CuO forming a well-ordered CuO phase [15].

Fig. 5 shows that pure mixed solids, and those treated with 0.13 wt.%  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$ , being calcined at 800°C were converted entirely into copper aluminate compound having a reasonable degree of crystallinity. It can also be seen from Fig. 5 that the increase in the dopant concentration resulted in a progressive suppression of copper aluminate formation, i.e.  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$ -doping hinders solid–solid interactions between CuO and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  to an extent proportional to its amount present.

Li<sub>2</sub>O added may interact with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> forming lithium aluminate [15,21] which covers the surfaces of the alumina particles hindering the thermal diffusion of copper oxide towards its interior. Hence, the formed lithium aluminate film suppresses the diffusion of copper species in the bulk of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The fact that the diffraction lines of lithium aluminate were not detected in the XRD patterns of Li<sub>2</sub>O-doped samples calcined at 800°C may indicate its presence in the amorphous state or that its amount is too small (1.63 wt.%) to be detected by XRD.



Fig. 5. XRD patterns of pure and doped solids precalcined at 800°C. (1) CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; and (2) CuO phases.

# 6. Conclusions

The following are the main conclusions that can be drawn from the results obtained:

- 1. Copper oxide interacts with  $Al_2O_3$  at  $\geq 600^{\circ}C$  to produce  $CuAl_2O_4$  phase with moderate crystallinity. The complete conversion of CuO into well-crystallized copper aluminate takes place at  $1000^{\circ}C$ .
- 2. ZnO hinders the solid–solid interaction, taking place at  $600^{\circ}$ C, between CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to produce CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. An opposite effect was observed upon heating at 800°C. The hindrance and stimulation effects of ZnO towards CuAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> formation at 600 and 800°C, respectively, ran parallel to its amount present.
- 3. ZnO hinders the crystallization process  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> $\rightarrow \alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in CuO–ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mixed solids precalcined at 1000°C to an extent proportional to its amount added.
- Li<sub>2</sub>O doping of CuO–ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system preheated at 600°C, improves the degree of ordering of CuO crystallites. In other words, Li<sub>2</sub>O decreases the dispersivity of CuO crystallites and suppresses

solid-solid interaction  $CuO+Al_2O_3 \rightarrow CuAl_2O_4$  taking place at 800°C.

## References

- A.V. Ramaswamy, L.D. Sharma, A. Singh, M.L. Singhal, S. Sivasanker, Appl. Catal. 13 (1985) 311.
- [2] A. Molt, Collect. Czech. Commun. 51 (1986) 1571.
- [3] A. Andreev, A. Kafedzhiiski, V. Khalachev, T. Kunev, M. Kalchev, Appl. Catal. 78 (1991) 199.
- [4] G.A. El-Shobaky, Th. El-Nabarawy, G.A. Fagal, Appl. Catal. 29 (1987) 235.
- [5] G.A. El-Shobaky, G.A. Fagal, A.M. Ghozza, M. Shouman, Materials Lett. 19 (1994) 225.
- [6] G.A. El-Shobaky, A.S. Ahmed, M. Mokhtar, J. Radioanal. Nuclear Chem. Articles 219(1) (1997) 89.
- [7] J.R. Rostrup-Nielsen, in: J.R. Anderson, M. Boudart (Eds.), Catalysis – Science and Technology, vol. 5, Springer, Berlin, 1984, p. 1.
- [8] P.H. Bolt, S.F. Lobner, T.B. Bout, J.W. Gens, F.H.P.M. Habarken, Appl. Surf. Sci. 70(71) (1993) 196.
- [9] P.H. Bolt, F.H.P.M. Habarken, J.W. Geus, Catal. Deact. 88 (1994) 425.
- [10] G.R. Gavalas, C. Phichitkul, G.E. Voecks, J. Catal. 88 (1984) 54.
- [11] G.A. El-Shobaky, N.M.I.F. Ghoneim, I.M. Hewaidy, I.M. Morsi, Thermochim. Acta 63 (1983) 39.

- [12] G.A. El-Shobaky, K.A. El-Barawy, F.H.A. Abdalla, Thermochim. Acta 96 (1985) 129.
- [13] G.A. El-Shobaky, A.N. Al-Noaimi, T.M.H. Saber, Bull. Soc. Chim. France 6 (1989) 175.
- [14] G.A. El-Shobaky, A.A. Ibrahim, Thermochim. Acta 147 (1989) 175.
- [15] G.A. El-Shobaky, Th. El-Nabarawy, G.A. Fagal, Thermochim. Acta 150 (1989) 111; 141 (1989) 195, 205.
- [16] F.H.A. Abdalla, G.A. El-Shobaky, N. Hassan, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges 103(11) (1994) 665.
- [17] G.A. El-Shobaky, Th. El-Nabarawy, G.A. Fagal, M. Mokhtar, J. Thermal Anal. 46 (1996) 1473.
- [18] G.A. El-Shobaky, F.H.A. Abdalla, A.M. Ghozza, K.A. Khalil, Thermochim. Acta 275 (1996) 235.
- [19] Powder Diffraction File (JCPDS), Interational Center for Diffraction Data, Swarthmore PA, 1979.
- [20] A. Lycourghiotis, V. Dimitris, A. Nicholas, Z. Phys. Chem. 125 (1981) 239.
- [21] M. Haulla, J. Lemaitre, B. Delmon, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1(78) (1982) 1389.